

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

JOHN MICHAEL ALLINGER,	)	3:06-cv-00139-LRH-VPC
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	<u>ORDER</u>
E. K. McDANIEL, et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

Before the court is Plaintiff's Objections to Proposed Findings of Fact and Recommendations (#103<sup>1</sup>), which the court will treat as a motion to reconsider Magistrate's Order (#100). Defendants responded on January 22, 2009 (#104).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#100) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#103) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2009.



---

LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court's docket number.